BoF Discussion Agenda & Introductions – NVMeoF/TCP Offload

Opening Remarks

This panel explores the challenges, issues, and benefits of addressing NVMe over TCP deployments without compromise. The session will explore server, storage and I/O workload testing techniques, tools, methodology and approaches to show NVMe over Fabrics including TCP can be accelerated, while freeing up host CPU resources for other software defined workloads.

Introductions

- Greg Schulz Independent Industry Analyst, Author, Consultant, Founder Server StorageIO™
- Bob Dugan Director of Engineering at Chelsio Communications

Brief Presentation and Perspectives

- Industry and Data Center Trends "Big Picture, Setting the Stage" Greg Schulz
- Chelsio Perspectives Brief Presentation Bob Dugan

Panel and Audience Q&A Discussion, Wrap-up

Industry & Data Center Trends – Greg Schulz StorageIO™

Greg has an Masters Software Engineering from University of St Thomas, worked as the customer in various IT organizations in roles from business applications to systems and data infrastructure. He has worked as a vendor, consulting analyst and author of several books including "Software-Defined Data Infrastructure Essentials" (CRC Press). Greg brings a diverse background with real world perspective across applications, data infrastructures, hardware, software, data protection, Performance and Capacity Planning as well as containers and clouds. Greg is a Microsoft MVP Cloud Data Center Management and previous ten-time VMware vExpert.

Continued shift to software defined data infrastructures (servers, storage, networks)
 Increased demand for compute resources (CPU, GPU, xPU and other offloads)
 Expanding focus from resource utilization to effectiveness and productivity
 NVMe & NVMe over Fabrics (NVMeoF) including NVMe over TCP
 Many I/O and storage performance problems are software and CPU problems

✓ Software needs hardware, hardware needs software, even serverless ;)



StorageIO.com/book4

<u>@StoragelO</u>

Everything is not the same, why treat everything the same with NVMe?

- In the datacenter (cloud, on-prem or edge), everything is not the same with different application workloads and characteristics, as well as resource needs and demands (hw, sw, network, compute, I/O, memory and storage). So why treat everything the same?
- Likewise everything is not the same when it comes to hw, sw, networks, including compute, I/O, memory and storage, along with software, how they are (or can) be used.
- Focusing on server (compute) and storage I/O networking connectivity, everything is not the same with different physical networks and fabrics as well as protocols and various components.
- With NVMe everything is not the same. From PCIe "x" slots to M.2, U.2 as well as NVMe over Fabrics including TCP among others. NVMe over TCP can be with software using standard Ethernet networking and host CPU cycles as an initiator or target, as well as with TCP Offload Engines.
- If everything is not the same, how do you know what to use when, where, why and how?

Everything is not the same, why treat everything the same with NVMe?

- If everything is not the same, how do you know what to use when, where, why and how?
- Answer is understanding the technology, workloads, resource needs, configuration, validation
- How to validate, verify, test and compare while being applicable to what you are trying to do?
 - ✓ What are you testing, where's the focus, target, initiator, both?
 - ✓ What are you measuring and its applicability, as well as from where?
 - ✓ Target, initiator, network, speed of a device, all the above?
 - ✓ Metrics that matter: CPU, memory, I/O bandwith, IOPs/operations, response time/latency, errors, queues
 - ✓ Configuration: hw & sw config, with or without offload, number of devices (target, initiator)
 - ✓ Test configution: I/O size, activity rate, duration, size of target storage space (e.g. fit in cache, or larger)
 - ✓ Are you testing for marketing "hero" numbers, or validate something works as intended?



Part 2: Optimal Performance and OpEx for Enterprise and Cloud Ethernet Storage

BOF Session: Best Practices for NVMe/TCP Deployment

Presented by Bob Dugan Chelsio Communications, Inc.

Agenda



- NVMe/TCP using TCP/IP and NVMe PDU Offload
 - Chelsio T6 NVMe/TCP using TCP/IP Offload Engine (TOE)
 - NVMe/TCP (TOE) Layering Closer View
 - Chelsio T7 NVMe/TCP using TCP and NVMe PDU Offload
- Performance Benchmarks
 - NVMe/TCP (TOE) BW & IOPs Test Configuration
 - Kernel NVMe/TCP (TOE) CPU Savings
 - NVMe/TCP (TOE) Bandwidth & IOPs
 - NVMe/TCP (TOE) Latency Test Configuration
 - NVMe/TCP Latency Comparison
- Testing NVMe/TCP (TOE)
 - No Compromise Testing
- Conclusions
- Q&A and General Discussion



NVMe/TCP using TCP/IP Offload

NVMe/TCP using TOE – Highlights



- Extends NVMe over fabrics using TCP/IP at large scale
 - TOE allows scaling more effectively
 - Free ups CPU from network system overhead
 - Reduces congestion on the network
- NVMe/TCP using TOE first proof point
 - Chelsio 100GbE TOE
 - 12.66 µs delta latency between remote and local storage
 - 2.8 Million IOPs at 4K I/O size
 - Reduced host CPU by up to 55% vs host-based TCP/IP

Chelsio T6 Use Case: High-Performance, Scale-out Database





OVERVIEW

Database utilizes x86 servers

Disaggregated database storage using Ethernet block storage protocols

Throughput and latency comparable to local storage



 \sum

FLEXIBILITY

Scale storage

Simpler data

management

separately from

database servers

COST SAVING BENEFITS

Higher storage utilization smaller/fewer database servers

Smaller footprint with database consolidation



T6 supports iSCSI, SMBDirect, NVMe-oF (iWARP), & NVMe/TCP offload simultaneously

T6 Block Diagram





Chelsio T7 Use Case: Scale-out Cloud Storage





NVMe/TCP, NVMe-oF (iWARP), NVMe-oF (RoCE)

......................

T7 supports iSCSI, SMBDirect, NVMe-oF (iWARP), NVMe-oF (RoCE), & NVMe/TCP offload simultaneously

7

T7 DPU Block Diagram





NVMe/TCP Offload Evolution







DMA through PCIe • No Host Memory Use

 CPU Handles NVMe Header/Data Transfer

Data Path.



Performance Benchmarks

NVMe/TCP (TOE) – BW & IOPs Test Configuration



Hosts

- 1 Intel Xeon CPU E5-1620 v4
- 4 cores (HT disabled) @ 3.50GHz
- 32GB of RAM
- Chelsio T62100-CR (2 x 100G ports)
- RHEL 8.6
- Kernel NVMe/TCP
- 2 connections per device
- MTU 1500

Target

- 2 Intel Xeon CPU E5-2687W v4
- 24 cores (HT disabled) @ 3.00GHz
- 128GB of RAM
- Chelsio T62100-CR (2 x 100G ports)
- RHEL 8.6 (5.4.100 kernel)
- 12 Null Block Devices (1 device/host)
- MTU 1500





<u>Setup</u>

7 CPU Cores used for SPDK Application, to allow CPU headroom for other storage applications.

<u>Summary</u>

- Up to 55% savings in %CPU per Gbps with Chelsio TOE compared to Kernel TCP/IP.
- Up to 28% savings in %CPU per Gbps with Chelsio TOE compared to SPDK TCP/IP.

Kernel NVMe/TCP (TOE) – Bandwidth & IOPs







<u>Summary – Kernel NVMe/TCP (TOE) Target</u>

- Line Rate throughput of 94 Gbps
 - 2.8 Million IOPs at 4K I/O size

SPDK NVMe/TCP (TOE) – Bandwidth & IOPs







<u>Summary – SPDK NVMe/TCP (TOE) Target</u>

- Line Rate throughput of 94 Gbps
 - 2.8 Million IOPs at 4K I/O size

NVMe/TCP (TOE) – Latency Test Configuration



Host

- 1 Intel Xeon CPU E5-1620 v4
- 4 cores (HT disabled) @ 3.50GHz
- 32GB of RAM
- Chelsio T62100-CR (2 x 100G ports)
- RHEL 8.6
- Kernel NVMe/TCP
- MTU 1500

Target

- 2 Intel Xeon CPU E5-2687W v4
- 24 cores (HT disabled) @ 3.00GHz
- 128GB of RAM
- Chelsio T62100-CR (2 x 100G ports)
- RHEL 8.6 (5.4.100 kernel)
- 1 Micron 9100 MAX 2.4TB PCIe NVMe SSD
- MTU 1500



NVMe/TCP Latency Comparison With & Without Offload / Kernel Space & SPDK



	Read*			Write*		
Target	Local	Remote	Delta	Local	Remote	Delta
Kernel NVMe/TCP	108.24	130.99	22.75	23.79	45.29	21.5
Kernel NVMe/TOE	108.24	126.68	18.44	23.79	40.77	16.98
SPDK NVMe/TCP	108.24	129.51	21.27	23.79	45.17	21.38
SPDK NVMe/TOE	108.24	121.79	13.55	23.79	36.45	12.66

<u>Summary</u>

- NVMe/TCP (TOE) latencies with T6 are very close to those of local disk.
- Kernel based TOE gives ~20% latency improvements over Host-Based TCP/IP.
- SPDK based TOE gives ~40% latency improvements over Host-Based TCP/IP.
- Small changes in performance in large scale networks with high frequency and/or large volumes of traffic have a big impact!

*Latency tests used Queue Depth (QD) = 1

No Compromise Testing



- Robust testing covering functional, conformance, interoperability and stress provides stable protocol offload for NVMe/TCP.
 - Tools include fio, iozone, Dbench, SPDK fio plugin tools
 - Disks include RAMdisk, SSDs, NVMe disks
 - Adding UNH IOL test suite InterACT for conformance & plugfests for interoperability

Performance

- Tools include fio, iozone, Dbench, SPDK fio plugin tools
- No compromise delivering workload performance while maintaining interoperability
- Performance measurement using fio providing Bandwidth, IOPs, Latency, Jitter
- Most importantly CPU Usage .. obtained with mpstat
- Chelsio's TOE tested in usual networking scenarios which include
 - Netperf, Iperf, Netpipe, Sockperf tools
 - Applications: nfs, scp, ssh, rsh, cifs, http
 - Network related: MTU, VLANs, IP Alias, Bonding, Nagle, Pause, congestion algos



- Chelsio T6 TOE improves NVMe/TCP performance and scalability by
 - Allowing more host CPU cycles for application software stacks
 - Reducing host CPU costs
- Chelsio T7 TOE and NVMe PDU offload further improves
 NVMe/TCP performance
 - Further reducing host CPU overhead to support growth and/or do more with less
 - Further boosting server-storage I/O performance (IOPs, response time, throughput)
 - Enabling remote storage performance similar to local storage and NVMe-oF-based performance



Q&A and General Discussion

Contact info

Greg Schulz: greg@unlimitedio.com Bob Dugan: bobdugan@chelsio.com



Please take a moment to rate this session